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## 1. Introduction

We study in this paper the problem of equiconvergence of some lacunary (Birkhoff) trigonometric interpolation polynomials (for a detailed treatment of this subject we refer to the recent book of Lorentz et al. [1]). In 1968 A. Sharma and A. K. Varma [6] proved that there exists a unique trigonometric polynomial $R_{n}(x)$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
R_{n}\left(x_{k n}\right)=a_{k n}, \quad R_{n}^{\prime \prime}\left(x_{k n}\right)=b_{k n}, \quad R_{n}^{\prime \prime \prime}\left(x_{k n}\right)=c_{k n}, \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $x_{k n}=2 \pi k / n$ and $a_{k n}, b_{k n}, c_{k n}$ are given constant, $k=0,1, \ldots, n-1$ (" $(0,2,3$ ) interpolation"). We require the trigonometric polynomial to have the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
d_{0}+\sum_{k=1}^{M-1}\left(d_{k} \cos k x+e_{k} \sin k x\right)+\varepsilon d_{M} \cos M x, \tag{1.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $M=[(3 n+1) / 2]$ and $\varepsilon=1$ or 0 according as $n$ is even or odd.
In the same paper [6] the following convergence theorem was proved:

Theorem A (A. Sharma and A. K. Varma [6]). Let $f$ be $2 \pi$-periodic and in $\operatorname{Lip} \alpha, \alpha>0$, and let
$a_{k n}=f\left(x_{k n}\right), b_{k n}=o\left(\frac{n^{2}}{\log n)}\right), \quad c_{k n}=o\left(\frac{n^{3}}{\log n}\right), \quad k=0,1, \ldots, n-1$.
Then $R_{n}(x) \equiv R_{n}(f, x)$ satisfying (1.1) and (1.3) converges uniformly to $f(x)$ on the whole real line.

It is natural to ask to what extent is this theorem best possible? This problem was examined in [8]. It was shown that even in the case $b_{k n}=c_{k n}=0, f \in \operatorname{Lip} \alpha, \alpha>0$, cannot be replaced by $f \in C_{2 \pi}$.

Throughout this paper we shall assume $n=2 m+1$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
x_{k n}=2 \pi k / n, \quad k=0,1, \ldots, n-1 \tag{1.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Further, we shall denote by $Q_{n}(f, x)$ the trigonometric polynomial of the form (1.2) satisfying

$$
\begin{gather*}
Q_{n}\left(f, x_{k n}\right)=f\left(x_{k n}\right), \quad Q_{n}^{\prime \prime}\left(f, x_{k n}\right)=Q_{n}^{\prime \prime \prime}\left(f, x_{k n}\right)=0  \tag{1.5}\\
k=0,1, \ldots, n-1
\end{gather*}
$$

It is well known [see Zygmund [10]] that the unique trigonometric polynomial of order $m$ which interpolates $f(x)$ at the nodes (1.4) is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
T_{m}(f ; x)=\frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} f\left(x_{k n}\right) D_{m}\left(x-x_{k n}\right) \tag{1.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

with

$$
\begin{equation*}
D_{m}(x)=1+2 \sum_{i=1}^{m} \cos i x \tag{1.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

It would be nice to observe that $T_{m}(f ; x)$ converges to $f(x)$ only under certain conditions and this would motivate equiconvergence of $f-Q$ and $f-T_{m}$. For interesting contributions on equiconvergence we refer to $\mathbf{G}$. Szegö [7] (see specifically Chapters IX and XIII). More precisely, we state our main theorem on equiconvergence as follows:

Theorem 1. Let $f \in C_{2 \pi}$, then there exists a function $\mu_{n}(x) \in c_{2 \pi}$ independent of $f$ with $\left|\mu_{n}(x)\right|<c$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left[f(x)-Q_{n}(f, x)-\mu_{n}(x)\left(f(x)-T_{m}(f, x)\right)\right]=0 \tag{1.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

uniformly on $0 \leqslant x \leqslant 2 \pi$. Here $Q_{n}(f, x)$ and $T_{m}(f, x)$ are defined by (1.5) and (1.6).

Corollary 1. Let $p>0$ be any fixed number. Then the sequence $Q_{n}(f, x)$ defined by (1.7) satisfies

$$
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{0}^{2 \pi}\left|Q_{n}(f, x)-f(x)\right|^{p} d x=0
$$

for every $f \in C_{2 \pi}$.
The above corollary at once follows from Theorem 1 and the well-known theorem of Marcinkiewicz [2,10] which is stated below.

Theorem B. Let $f(x) \in c_{2 \pi}, 0<p<\infty$, and $T_{m}(f, x)$ is given by (1.6) then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{0}^{2 \pi}\left|f(x)-T_{m}(f, x)\right|^{p} d x=0 \tag{1.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

For many interesting contributions on the problem of mean convergence we refer to the works of P. Nevai [3-5], P. Vértesi [9], and many references mentioned therein.

## 2. Preliminaries

We denote the Fejer kernel by

$$
\begin{equation*}
t_{1, k}(x)=1, \quad t_{j, k}(x)=1+\frac{2^{j-1}}{j} \sum_{i=1}^{1}(j-i) \cos i\left(x-x_{k n}\right), \quad j>1 \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

The following properties of the Fejér kernel will be needed:

$$
\begin{gather*}
(j+1) t_{j+1, k}(x)-2 j t_{j, k}(x)+(j-1) t_{j-1, k}(x)=2 \cos j\left(x-x_{k n}\right)  \tag{2.2}\\
\sum_{k=0}^{n-1} t_{j, k}(x)=n  \tag{2.3}\\
t_{j, k}(x)=\frac{1}{j}\left(\frac{\sin j \frac{x-x_{k n}}{2}}{\sin \frac{x-x_{k n}}{2}}\right)^{2} \tag{2.4}
\end{gather*}
$$

From (1.10) we have

$$
\begin{align*}
D_{m}\left(x-x_{k n}\right) & =1+2 \sum_{i=1}^{m} \cos i\left(x-x_{k n}\right),  \tag{2.5}\\
D_{m}\left(x-x_{k n}\right) & =(m+1) t_{m+1, k}(x)-m t_{m, k}(x),  \tag{2.6}\\
D_{3 m+1}\left(x-x_{k n}\right) & =(3 m+2) t_{3 m+2, k}(x)-(3 m+1) t_{3 m+1, k}(x),  \tag{2.7}\\
D_{3 m+1}\left(x-x_{k n}\right) & =(1+2 \cos (2 m+1) x) D_{m}\left(x-x_{k n}\right) . \tag{2.8}
\end{align*}
$$

In the quoted work [6] the explicit form of $Q_{n}(f, x)$ was also obtained. It is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
Q_{n}(f, x)=\sum_{k=0}^{n} f\left(x_{k n}\right) u\left(x-x_{k n}\right) \tag{2.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

where for $n$ odd ( $=2 m+1$ )

$$
\begin{equation*}
u(x)=A_{n}(x)-B_{n}(x) \tag{2.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

where
$A_{n}(x)=\frac{1}{n}\left[1+\frac{2}{n^{2}} \sum_{j=1}^{m} \alpha_{j} \cos j x\right], \quad B_{n}(x)=\frac{1}{n^{3}} \sum_{j=m+1}^{3 m+1} \beta_{j} \cos j x$
and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\alpha_{j}=\alpha_{j, n}=\frac{\left(n^{2}-j^{2}\right)^{2}}{n^{2}-3 j^{2}}, \quad \beta_{j}=\beta_{j, n}=\frac{(n-j)^{2}(2 n-j)^{2}}{n^{2}-3(n-j)^{2}} . \tag{2.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

For the proof of Theorem 1, we need to express the fundamental functions $u\left(x-x_{k n}\right)$ in terms of Fejér and Dirichlet kernels. This result is shown in the next lemma.

Lemma. The following representation of $A_{n}(x)$ and $B_{n}(x)$ is valid:

$$
\begin{align*}
A_{n}\left(x-x_{k n}\right)= & \frac{1}{n^{3}} \sum_{l=1}^{m} \gamma_{l} l t_{l, k}(x)+\frac{m}{n^{3}}\left(\alpha_{m} \quad 1-\alpha_{m}\right) t_{m, k}(x) \\
& +\frac{\alpha_{m}}{n^{3}} D_{m}\left(x-x_{k n}\right) \tag{2.13}
\end{align*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{align*}
B_{n}\left(x-x_{k n}\right)= & \frac{1}{2 n^{3}}\left[\sum_{l=m+1}^{3 m} \delta_{l} l t_{l, k}(x)+\left(\beta_{m+1}-\beta_{m}\right) m t_{m, k}(x)\right. \\
& +(3 m+1)\left(\beta_{3 m}-\beta_{3 m+1}\right) t_{3 m+1, k}(x) \\
& \left.+\left(\beta_{3 m+1}(1+2 \cos n x)-\beta_{m}\right) D_{m}\left(x-x_{k n}\right)\right] \tag{2.14}
\end{align*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
\gamma_{l}=\alpha_{l-1}-2 \alpha_{l}+\alpha_{l+1}, \quad \delta_{l}=\beta_{l-1}-2 \beta_{l}+\beta_{l+1} \tag{2.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proofs of (2.13) and (2.14) are on the same lines. Therefore we give the details of (2.13) only. From (2.11), (2.2), and (2.15) we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
A\left(x-x_{k n}\right)= & \frac{1}{n}\left[1+\frac{1}{n^{2}} \sum_{j=1}^{m} x_{j}\left((j+1) t_{j+1, k}(x)-2 j t_{j, k}(x)\right.\right. \\
& \left.\left.+(j-1) t_{j-1, k}(x)\right)\right]=\frac{1}{n}\left[1+\frac{1}{n^{2}}\left(\sum_{l=2}^{m+1} \alpha_{l-1} l t_{l, k}(x)\right.\right. \\
& \left.\left.-2 \sum_{l=1}^{m} l \alpha_{l} t_{l, k}(x)+\sum_{l=1}^{m} \alpha_{l+1} l t_{l, k}(x)\right)\right] \\
= & \frac{1}{n^{3}}\left[\sum_{l=1}^{m-1}\left(\alpha_{l-1}-2 \alpha_{l}+\alpha_{l+1}\right) l t_{l, k}(x)\right. \\
& \left.+\alpha_{m-1} m t_{m, k}(x)+\alpha_{m}(m+1) t_{m+1, k}(x)-2 m \alpha_{m} t_{m, k}(x)\right] \\
= & \frac{1}{n^{3}}\left[\sum_{l=1}^{m} \gamma_{l} l t_{l, k}(x)+\left(\alpha_{m-1}-\alpha_{m}\right) m t_{m, k}(x)\right. \\
& +\alpha_{m}\left((m+1) t_{m+1, k}(x)-m t_{m, k}(x)\right] \\
= & \frac{1}{n^{3}}\left[\sum_{l=1}^{m-1} \gamma_{l} l t_{l, k}(x)+\left(\alpha_{m-1}-\alpha_{m}\right) m t_{m, k}(x)+\alpha_{m} D_{m, k}(x)\right] .
\end{aligned}
$$

This proves (2.13).

## 3. Proof of Theorem 1

Since $Q(1 ; x) \equiv 1$, we have

$$
f(x)-Q_{n}(f, x)=\sum_{k=0}^{n-1}\left(f(x)-f\left(x_{k n}\right)\right) u\left(x-x_{k n}\right) .
$$

Further, from (2.10), (2.11), and (2.13) we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
f(x) & -Q_{n}(f, x)=a_{m}(x) \sum_{k=0}^{n-1}\left(f(x)-f\left(x_{k n}\right)\right) D_{m}\left(x-x_{k n}\right) \\
& +b_{m} \sum_{k=0}^{n-1}\left(f(x)-f\left(x_{k n}\right)\right) t_{m, k}(x)
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
& -\frac{(3 m+1)}{2 n^{3}}\left(\beta_{3, m}-\beta_{3 m+1}\right) \sum_{k=0}^{\prime \prime}\left(f(x)-f\left(x_{k n}\right)\right) t_{3 m+1, k}(x) \\
& +\frac{1}{n^{3}} \sum_{t=1}^{m} \gamma_{l} l^{n} \sum_{k=0}^{\prime}\left(f(x)-f\left(x_{k n}\right)\right) t_{l, k}(x) \\
& -\frac{1}{2 n^{3}} \sum_{t=m+1}^{3 m} l \delta_{l} \sum_{k=0}^{n-1}\left(f(x)-f\left(x_{k n}\right)\right) t_{l, k}(x) \tag{3.1}
\end{align*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{align*}
a_{m}(x) & =\frac{\alpha_{m}}{n^{3}}-\frac{1}{2 n^{3}}\left(\beta_{3 m+1}(1+2 \cos n x)-\beta_{m}\right)  \tag{3.2}\\
b_{m} & =\frac{m}{n^{3}}\left(\alpha_{m} \quad 1-\alpha_{m}\right)-\frac{m}{2 n^{3}}\left(\beta_{m+1}-\beta_{m}\right) \tag{3.3}
\end{align*}
$$

From (3.2), (3.3) we have

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
a_{m}(x)=\frac{\mu_{n}(x)}{n}, & \left|\mu_{n}(x)\right| \leqslant c_{1}, \\
\left|b_{m}\right| \leqslant \frac{c_{2}}{n}, & \left|\beta_{3 m}-\beta_{3 m+1}\right| \leqslant c_{3} n \\
\left|\gamma_{l}\right| \leqslant c_{4}, & l=1,2, \ldots, m-1, \\
\left|\delta_{l}\right| \leqslant c_{5}, & l=m+1, \ldots, 3 m \tag{3.7}
\end{array}
$$

where $c_{1}, c_{2}, c_{3}, c_{4}$, and $c_{5}$ are independent of $n$. Therefore, we have

$$
\begin{align*}
f(x) & -Q_{n}(f, x)-\mu_{n}(x)\left(f(x)-T_{m}(f, x)\right) \\
& =\lambda_{2 n}(x)+\lambda_{3 n}(x)+\lambda_{4 n}(x)+\lambda_{5 n}(x) \tag{3.8}
\end{align*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \lambda_{2 n}(x)=b_{m} \sum_{k=0}^{n-1}\left(f(x)-f\left(x_{k}\right)\right) t_{m, k}(x) \\
& \lambda_{3 n}(x)=\frac{(3 m+1)}{2 n^{3}}\left(\beta_{3 m+1}-\beta_{3 m}\right) \sum_{k=0}^{n-1}\left(f(x)-f\left(x_{k n}\right)\right) t_{3 m+1, k}(x) \\
& \lambda_{4 n}(x)=\frac{1}{n^{3}} \sum_{l=1}^{m-1} \gamma_{l} l \sum_{k=0}^{n-1}\left(f(x)-f\left(x_{k n}\right)\right) t_{l, k}(x)
\end{aligned}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lambda_{5 n}(x)=\frac{-1}{2 n^{3}} \sum_{l=m+1}^{3 m} l \delta_{l} \sum_{k=0}^{n-1}\left(f(x)-f\left(x_{k n}\right)\right) t_{l, k}(x) . \tag{3.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

Next it is easy to show that for $f \in C_{2 \pi}$ we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \lambda_{k n}(x)=0, \quad k=2,3,4,5 . \tag{3.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

Here we use (3.5)-(3.7) and follow as in Fejér sums. From (3.8) and (3.10) Theorem 1 follows at once.
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